REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
data this b 4302	needed, and completing a ourden to Department of D . Respondents should be	and reviewing this collection of Defense, Washington Headqua e aware that notwithstanding ar	information. Send comments regarters Services, Directorate for Info	arding this burden estimate or any rmation Operations and Reports (n shall be subject to any penalty f	y other aspect of this co 0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe	ching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the ollection of information, including suggestions for reducing erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- h a collection of information if it does not display a currently			
1.	REPORT DATE (04/11/2013		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report	1200.	3.	DATES COVERED (From - To) 02/2012 – 09/2012			
4.	TITLE AND SUB	TITLE	•			CONTRACT NUMBER TC-09-01-INIT030			
	LVBIED Barrier Project					GRANT NUMBER			
					5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
5.	AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER			
	Indra Nayee				5e.	e. TASK NUMBER			
					5f. '	WORK UNIT NUMBER			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Subsystem Technologies, Inc. 410 Route 15 South, Wharton, NJ 07885						PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(US Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center Picatinny Arsenal, Picatinny, NJ 07806				S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				er		RDEC			
Г	ricauriny Arsenai, F	ricallility, NJ 07000			11.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12.	DISTRIBUTION / A	AVAILABILITY STATE	MENT						
F	Public								
13.	SUPPLEMENTAR	YNOTES							
Non	е								
14.	ABSTRACT								
ours nea Arre off-t to d Arti	ue three concu r term activity sting Device (V he-shelf produ levelop a team llery Design Te	urrent activities to is designed to acce (LAD) with Remote cts alone, in comb that will look at no am, Indirect Fire D	provide vehicle arrest elerate efforts which Deployment Device ination with the nets lew design concepts a	sting barrier solution ARDEC is currently (RDD)). The mid te s, or in combination and test them to me is developing The LN	ns in the near, developing (Norm path indicate with each othe the need to be the Berrier	operations. The plan is to , mid and far terms. The M2 Vehicle Lightweight ates the evaluation of other ner. The far term plan is o stop heavy vehicles. The Project which addresses the capability ry point.			
15.	SUBJECT TERMS	i							
LVE	SIED (Large Vehicle	e Borne Improvised Exp	olosive Device) Barrier Pr	roject					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: N/A				17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Indra Nayee			
a. R	EPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	υυ	5	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 973.989.8001			



Final Technical Status Report

For

LVBIED Barrier Project

Initiative No: DOTC-09-01-INIT030

Reporting Period: January 2012 - September 2012

Ordnance Technology Initiative Team

Subsystem Technologies, Inc.

Karco

Initiative Team Technical POC

Indra Nayee, Project Manager inayee@subsystem.com

Steven Willenbucher, Sr. Contracts Manager swillenbucher@subsystem.com

Irene Pombo, Contracts Manager ipombo@subsystem.com

Subsystem Technologies, Inc 2121 Crystal Drive Suite 680 Arlington, VA 22202 (703) 841 0071 SUBSYSTEMs 410 Route 15 South Wharton, NJ 07885 (973) 989 8001

Submitted: October 25, 2012



1. Comments on Technical/Cost/Schedule Performance:

The Artillery Design Team, Indirect Fire Division, W&T, WSEC is developing The LVBIED Barrier Project which addresses the capability gap of arresting a large vehicle born improvised explosive device traveling through an entry point. Design considerations include current requirements, but shall not be limited to: vehicle velocity, vehicle weight, ease of emplacement, emplacement time, lethality, stopping distance and must allow for selective interdiction of vehicles. The capability gap's objective requirement is to stop a vehicle traveling at 50mph and weighing 65,000 lbs.

The project involves developing a system which can be employed in theatre during current operations. The plan is to pursue three concurrent activities to provide vehicle arresting barrier solutions in the near, mid and far terms. The near term activity is designed to accelerate efforts which ARDEC is currently developing (M2 Vehicle Lightweight Arresting Device (VLAD) with Remote Deployment Device (RDD)). The mid term path indicates the evaluation of other off-the-shelf products alone, in combination with the nets, or in combination with each other. The far term plan is to develop a team that will look at new design concepts and test them to meet the need to stop heavy vehicles.

During this reporting period, we conducted the series of tests to assess the Delta Scientific DSC7000 Crash Barrier in a direct burial scenario using alternate foundation methods per the test plan agreed by Government. The DSC7000 was evaluated per the ASTM F 2656-07 "Standard Test Method for Vehicle Crash Testing of Perimeter Barriers" to the M50 Level. The intent of test M50 is to evaluate the ability of the test article to arrest a 6800 Kg (15000 lb.) vehicle from penetrating or vaulting a secured area such as ordnance storage, military base and the extent, if any, of barrier deformation.

Current tasks are on schedule and performing within the allocated budgets. The cost and schedule performance of this initiative meets the customer's requirements.



2. Initiative Quad Chart

Initiative Information Ative Lead: Subsystem Technologies, Inc. In Members: Karco In Members: February 2012 — In Member 2012 Ative Modifications: 1 updated period of performance (through 2012) 2 Amendment 3 updated POP (Through September 2012)		
n Members: Karco od of Performance: February 2012 – ember 2012 ative Modifications: 1 updated period of performance (through 2012) 2 Amendment		
Implementation & Payoff		
dule: The tests were conducted as planned. as: Cost and schedule performance of the initiative meets the customer's requirements essful completion of tests will provide valuation of prototype arresting barrier in ffort of enhancing the state-of-the-art ods to stop VBIED (Vehicle Born ovised Explosive Devises). In order to the increasing demands from threats by vehicles carrying improvised explosive test and acting as missiles targeting our ers at checkpoints and in the field, study		



3. Supplemental Information

The following sections summarize the activities for this quarter:

3.1 Technical Achievements

SUBSYSTEMs successfully completed the tests in accordance with the Statement of Work.

1. Vehicle Preparation:

The vehicle was weighed and ballast to the proper test weight for the test specified. The vehicle was measured for crush characteristics and instrumented with the on-board data acquisition system and accelerometers to measure dynamic forces during impact event. A remote controlled braking system was installed in the vehicle for safety.

2. Pre-Test Set Up

We installed the barrier at the crash area to meet the designated impact angle and roadway characteristics. All instrumentation and photographic equipment were pre-tested and calibrated. The test set up was performed as listed below:

- Vehicle test in accordance with ASTM M50 and reporting
- Installation and removal of test article and returning test site to pre-test condition
- Backfill soil (gravel and sand)
- Vehicle test vehicle procurement in accordance with ASTM M50
- Soil test for base material and final installation
- Vehicle Disposal

3. Test

The test was conducted in accordance with the specified test criteria for each vehicle arresting barrier test. Post-test measurements were made and the pass/fail criteria evaluated. The data was processed and plots were recorded for each channel of data collected. Dynamic intrusion was verified from the high-speed video coverage.

4. Post-Test Measurement

We performed the post-test measurements consist of visual inspections assessing the level of damage to the test sled article. Additionally, disbursement distances of various parts broken away from the test sled were quantified by measurements related back to the point of impact.

5. Test Reporting

Our team acquired the data for each test comprised of the pre-test and post-test inspection and measurements listed in the test procedure, real-time and high-speed video of the impact event, color photographs of pre- and post-test set up, impact velocity measurements, force measurements acting on the test vehicle, and any other data requested. The high-speed video coverage was selected to best document vehicle and barrier kinematics. Impact velocity was accurately measured to 99.9999 per cent accuracy by utilizing a photoelectric speed trap positioned to record velocity within one foot of the impact. The speed traps that were used contained two sets of photoelectric sensors and light sources, interfaced to two time interval meters providing five digit microsecond displays at a 1 MHz clock rate, with accuracy of 0.05%,



traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The final report was provided to the government on March 20, 2012.

Milestone Status:

Mile-	Deliverable Description	Due Date	% Complete	Cumulative
stone No.			this period	% complete
1	Test Plan	02/01/2012	100%	1000/
1	Test Plan		100%	100%
2	Test Run 1,2 & 3	02/21/2012	100%	100%
3	Test 4 (Alignment Test)	02/28/2012	100%	100%
4	Quarterly Technical & Business Status Report	03/20/2012	100%	100%
5	Final Technical & Business Status Report	09/30/2012	100%	100%

Technical Readiness Level Status: Not applicable

3.2 Problems Encountered and Action Taken

- Changes to the initiative objective or schedule:
 No changes to the initiative objective or schedule were encountered. The period of performance was extended until April 2012 to meet the additional task requirements.
- *Technical problems and approach to correct:*There were no technical problems encountered during this reporting period.
- *Schedule problems and approach to correct:* There were no schedule problems reported.
- Risks identified and mitigation plans:
 There were no identified risks during this reporting period.

3.3 Technology Transfer

• No technology transfer identified during this reporting period other than the successful completion of all tests.

3.4 Plans for Next Quarter

Not applicable